

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A trade-off between traits that contribute to male and female function in hermaphrodites

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/140850> since 2016-06-23T10:51:47Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1080/03949370.2014.880162

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:
Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera: [**Ethology Ecology
& Evolution DOI: 10.1080/03949370.2014.880162**]

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

[<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03949370.2014.880162>]

**A trade-off between traits that contribute to male and female function in
hermaphrodites**

Valeria Di Bona¹, Corrado Minetti¹, Vincenzo Trotta², Gabriella Sella¹ M. Cristina Lorenzi¹

¹ Università di Torino, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Via Accademia Albertina 13,
10123 Torino, Italy

² Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Biologia Evoluzionistica Sperimentale, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Corresponding author:

M. Cristina Lorenzi

Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell’Uomo, Università di Torino, Via Accademia Albertina 13,
10123 Torino, Italy

tel ++39 011 670 4512 e-mail: cristina.lorenzi@unito.it

Running title. Genetic covariance in sexual functions

35 Abstract

36

37 Sex allocation theory assumes that male and female reproductive functions share a common limited
38 resource pool and are negatively correlated in hermaphrodites. Here we report on the first artificial
39 selection experiment designed to test the existence of genetically-based correlations between sex
40 functions in hermaphroditic animals. The polychaete worm *Ophryotrocha diadema* has a long
41 juvenile male phase, and then shifts to the simultaneously hermaphroditic phase. We selected two
42 sets of lines of worms for a short male phase and, after 4 generations, worms had a significantly
43 shorter male-phase than their generation-0 ancestors. As negatively correlated responses, generation-
44 4 worms spent more time maturing eggs and produced a higher number of eggs at 1st laying than
45 worms of generation 0. Both traits contributed to the female function and were not the target of the
46 selection experiment. In contrast, selection was ineffective in the lines descending from
47 phenotypically-hermaphroditic worms that reproduced only via their male function. Our results
48 provide the first empirical support of a genetic basis for a trade-off between traits related to the male
49 and female function in hermaphroditic animals and highlight that these trade-offs are complex. Our
50 results also suggest that the trade-off between male and female functions breaks up as hermaphrodites
51 evolve some sexual specialization where resources are channeled towards a single sexual function.

52

53 KEY WORDS: artificial selection, genetic covariance, correlated traits, phenotypic plasticity, sex
54 allocation, protandry

55

56

57 INTRODUCTION

58 Natural selection cannot cause an unlimited increase in some components of fitness without
59 simultaneously causing a decrease in others, as long as these components share the same, limited,
60 resource pool. Therefore, selection favors those individuals that maximize their fitness by allocating
61 resources differentially and appropriately to traits that share common, limited resources. This implies
62 that competing traits are linked by a negative correlation (STEARNS & HOEKSTRA 2000; ROFF &
63 FAIRBAIRN 2007; COX & CALSBEEK 2010).

64 An interesting case study of correlations among traits is offered by gender expression in
65 hermaphrodites, in which the male and the female functions can behave as competing traits. Charnov
66 was the first to address the consequences of the evolutionary association of sexual functions in a
67 single organism and modeled how evolution shapes the differential allocation of resources to the two
68 sexual functions (CHARNOV et al. 1976; CHARNOV 1982). Both the male and the female functions
69 have nutritional and energetic demands associated with their expression. If they share the same
70 limited resource budget when they are tied in the same organism, as it occurs in hermaphrodites, a
71 larger resource allocation to, for example, the female function, will result in a smaller allocation to
72 the male function and vice versa. In other words, sexual functions are linked by an intrinsic trade-off.
73 Such a trade-off is expected in functional hermaphrodites but lessens in sexually-specialized
74 phenotypes where resources are canalized towards only one sexual function (EHLERS & BATAILLON 2007).

75 Empirical evidence for the existence of trade-offs between sexual functions in hermaphrodites
76 has often been looked for, but straightforward evidence is scarce and results often equivocal
77 (CAMPBELL 2000; SCHÄRER 2009). Correlations among traits may be investigated by selecting on one
78 trait and looking for correlated responses in other traits. If the other traits are altered even though the
79 researcher imposed no direct selection on them, this indicates that they are genetically correlated with
80 the trait under selection (LANDE 1979; STEARNS & HOEKSTRA 2000; ROFF & FAIRBAIRN 2007).
81 Genetically based trade-offs between traits emerge when a change in a trait that increases fitness is
82 linked to a change in another trait that decreases fitness (STEARNS 1992).

83 The experiment reported here is, to our knowledge, the first artificial selection experiment
84 designed to test the existence of genetically-based trade-offs between sex functions in hermaphroditic
85 animals. We chose the marine polychaete worm *Ophryotrocha diadema* as our study model. This
86 hermaphrodite is strictly non-selfing, and, in pairs, worms regularly trade eggs with partners,
87 producing large number of eggs (SELLA 1985). When the opportunities for mating increase, these
88 worms drastically reduce their female allocation and desert their reciprocating partners for mating in
89 the preferred male role (SELLA & LORENZI 2000; LORENZI et al. 2005; LORENZI & SELLA 2008). Since
90 fitness is often more sensitive to changes in age at maturity than to changes in other life-history traits
91 (STEARNS & HOEKSTRA 2000), we focused our analyses on the length of the juvenile, protandric, male
92 phase that precedes the hermaphroditic phase, and we selected for shortening it. The length of the
93 male phase is related to current fitness via the male function (SELLA & LORENZI 2003), and we tested
94 whether it was negatively related to fitness via the female function, assuming a genetically-based
95 trade-off between male and female functions. By selecting for shortening the male phase, we expected
96 both a direct response to selection (a shorter male phase) and a correlated response in traits that
97 contributed to the female function (e.g. more time devoted to mature/produce eggs). Our selection
98 experiment included two sets of lineages, because *O. diadema* hermaphrodites have two different
99 sexual phenotypes: functional hermaphrodites and functional males (i.e., worms that have a
100 hermaphroditic phenotype but function only as males, DI BONA et al. 2010). It is likely that these
101 different sexual phenotypes result from the different expression of the multiple sex loci underlying
102 the two sexual functions, as it occurs in other species of the genus (PREMOLI et al 1996, LORENZI and
103 SELLA 2013). In this hypothesis, functional males could represent a phenotypic class at one of the
104 extremes of the range of sex-allocation variation and sex-loci combinations (MOORE & ROBERTS
105 2012). We predicted that selection outcomes in the two lineages would differ. Whereas we expected
106 that a genetic correlation between traits related to sex functions emerged in the sets of lines of
107 functional hermaphrodites, we expected no or little response to selection in the sets of lines of
108 functional males, as the trade-off between sex functions may not work in these sexually-specialized

109 worms.

110

111

112 MATERIALS AND METHODS

113 *Study model*

114 *Ophryotrocha diadema* is an iteroparous, non-selfing, simultaneously hermaphroditic, polychaete
115 worm with external fertilization. A few worms were originally collected in Los Angeles harbor in
116 August 1972 (ÅKESSON 1976). Since then, these worms have been cultured in the laboratory. *O.*
117 *diadema* is small-sized (about 4 mm). Larvae hatch from eggs and develop inside their cocoon for
118 about 8 days. When larvae are 4-segment-long, they leave their cocoon and soon enter their male
119 phase (SELLA 1990). During the male phase they produce viable sperm (and no eggs) and successfully
120 fertilize eggs that hermaphrodites lay (SELLA 1990; SELLA & LORENZI 2003). After 30 days, the
121 young worms develop eggs, which can be seen in the coelom through their transparent body walls
122 (under stereomicroscope, 40X magnification). At this point, worms stop their male-only phase and
123 enter their simultaneously hermaphroditic phase, during which functional hermaphrodites reproduce
124 via the male and female function alternately (SELLA 1985) (Fig. 1). Before their 1st spawning, young
125 hermaphrodites court each other for 1-4 days. Then, one worm plays the female role and releases 20-
126 25 eggs protected by a jelly egg-cocoon, and its partner plays the male role and releases its sperm
127 inside the cocoon. Then, generally, worms take turns in either laying or fertilizing eggs in subsequent
128 mating events (fig. 1) (SELLA 1985; SELLA & RAMELLA 1999; LORENZI & SELLA 2000). During the
129 hermaphroditic phase, hermaphrodites adjust their sex allocation to male and female function rapidly
130 and opportunistically (Lorenzi et al. 2005, 2008), basing on cues which inform them on mating
131 opportunities (SCHLEICHEROVÀ et al. 2006, 2010). Hermaphrodites compete for egg fertilization and
132 multiple paternity of single egg-cocoons is not rare (LORENZI et al. 2013).

133 In these worms, a dominant Y allele determines a yellow egg color, while the recessive y allele
134 determines a white egg color (SELLA & MARZONA 1983). This marker is neutral (ÅKESSON 1976).

135 By means of this marker, we can identify focal worms in a group and assign their progeny.

136 Throughout this paper, we have classified worms as follows: 1) “worms in male phase” are
137 individuals in the protandrous phase (i.e., between hatching and the first appearance of eggs in the
138 coelom); 2) “young hermaphrodites” are worms that are maturing their first eggs (i.e., between the
139 first appearance of eggs in the coelom and the 1st egg laying); 3) “hermaphrodites” are worms between
140 the 1st egg laying and their death; and 4) “functional males” are phenotypically hermaphroditic worms
141 that function only as males (they have eggs in their coelom but do not lay them, DI BONA et al. 2010).

142

143 *Correlations between the traits used in the experiment*

144 In a preliminary experiment we checked whether the length of the male phase is correlated to
145 two traits that contribute to the female function (i.e. number eggs at 1st laying and length of the interval
146 between the first appearance of eggs in the coelom and the 1st egg laying). To this aim, 256 functional
147 hermaphrodites were randomly taken from our mass cultures and each of them was paired with a
148 partner. We found that the longer the male phase, the fewer the number of eggs that worms laid at the
149 1st laying, suggesting that the trait “length of male phase” traded-off with the number of eggs at the
150 1st egg laying (Pearson’s $r = -0.158$, $P = 0.011$). Vice versa, the longer the time worms spent as young
151 hermaphrodites, the larger the number of eggs at the 1st laying ($r = 0.552$, $P < 0.0001$), suggesting
152 that the trait “time as young hermaphrodite” contributed mostly to the female function. Additionally,
153 in a subset of these paired worms, we measured lifetime fecundity and we found that, in paired worms,
154 the number of eggs at the 1st laying was significantly and positively associated to lifetime fecundity
155 ($r = 0.603$, $n = 51$, $P < 0.0001$). Therefore, the number of eggs at the 1st laying is a good proxy for
156 lifetime fecundity. These traits are the main fitness components in *O. diadema* (PREVEDELLI et al.
157 2006)

158

159 *Rearing conditions*

160 We reared worms in sea water (density of $1024 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$), in 10-ml bowls, in darkened,

thermostatic chambers at 20°C. We fed animals with spinach *ad libitum* and changed water in the bowls twice a week. In each sibship and generation, we kept sibling larvae and protandrous males together until they were young hermaphrodites.

Experimental design

We formed the two sets of lines from 269 homozygous yellow-egg hermaphroditic worms, randomly chosen from our lab population. The 269 selected worms composed the founding population and were the result of the first episode of selection. In order to use them as founders of the two sets of selected lines, we checked their functional gender as soon as they entered their hermaphroditic phase. We paired each of them to a white-egg hermaphrodite for 21 days (i.e. two thirds of their fertile life) and noted whether they laid eggs (functional hermaphrodites) or not (functional males). During 21 days, hermaphrodites are expected to lay 5-10 cocoons of eggs and functional males no cocoon at all (DI BONA et al. 2010). Of 269 worms, 256 were functional hermaphrodites and 13 functional males.

Generation 0 of the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites was composed of 20 pairs of functional hermaphrodites, randomly chosen among the 256 functional hermaphrodites of the founding population. Generation 0 of the set of lines of functional males was composed of 13 pairs, each formed by one of the 13 functional males and by a functional hermaphrodite. We based the selection for a short male phase on the phenotypic variation of this trait. At every new generation, we selected the worms with the shortest male phase from each sibships of homozygous yellow-egg hermaphrodites. The selected worms were backcrossed with one of their parents to produce the next generation. From every sibship, other 4 worms were used to measure the mean values of two traits (i.e. the number of eggs at 1st laying and the length of the young hermaphroditic phase). These two traits were correlated to the length of the male phase in the founding population (see above). To measure the direct and indirect responses to selection, the mean values of the traits of generation 4 were compared to those of generation 0 in the same set of lines. A significant direct response to

187 selection was detected when the mean value of the length of the male phase was significantly smaller
188 in generation 4 than in generation 0. Such a direct response would-indicate that the trait “length of
189 the male phase” is heritable. Significant indirectly correlated responses to selection were detected
190 when the mean value of the traits that were not the target of selection (i.e. number of eggs at 1st laying
191 and length of the young hermaphroditic phase) changed in concert with the length of the male phase,
192 a result that would indicate that the two traits were genetically linked. At every generation, sibships
193 were reared separately from both parents and other sibships. The selection procedure was performed
194 on worms homozygous with yellow eggs. When it was necessary to recognize paired worms
195 individually and to identify which partner in a pair laid cocoons, yellow-egg worms were paired to
196 white-egg worms.

197 We backcrossed the selected offspring to their parents in order to strengthen the differences
198 between lines in their genetic background. In this way we controlled the sex-related genetic
199 contribution to the next generation to a larger extent than if we had performed crosses between
200 randomly chosen worms within each line. Because the experimental procedure was the same in the
201 two sets of lines, we imposed the same level of inbreeding and the same selection pressure on both
202 lines. We did not include a set of control lines in our experimental design. This would have allowed
203 us to control for environmental fluctuations, but it would have also reduced the available facilities by
204 constraining us to reduce the number of replicates in the sets of selected lines. The reduction in the
205 number of the selected lines would have increased their sampling variance and reduced the accuracy
206 of the response estimate. Therefore we gave up the set of control lines, considering that while it is
207 true that random changes in the environment reduce the precision with which the response to selection
208 is estimated, nevertheless they do not bias the estimate of the response (FALCONER 1989).

209
210 *Measures of phenotypic variation of the traits used in the experiment*

211 It is easy to measure female allocation in these worms. After worms have entered the
212 hermaphroditic phase, they repeatedly produce and lay eggs lifelong. Eggs are large and countable,

213 and their contribution to the female function is obvious (SELLA & RAMELLA 1999). It is less easy to
214 measure male allocation, which consists in almost invariant, low sperm counts and elusive mate
215 competition (PREMOLI & SELLA 1995; LORENZI et al. 2006). These worms spend about one third of
216 their life in the protandrous phase, before moving on to the simultaneous hermaphroditic phase.
217 Therefore, in generation 0 and in generation 4 of the two selected sets of lines we measured the length
218 of the male phase, the length of the young-hermaphrodite phase and the number of eggs at 1st laying.
219 In a subset of worms of the founding population and of generation 4, we also checked lifetime
220 fecundity (i.e., lifetime egg production). To measure lifetime fecundity, we paired each of these
221 worms, which had a yellow-egg phenotype, to a white-egg hermaphrodite, and then we checked their
222 egg production. We used these data also to analyze the lifetime temporal pattern of egg production.
223 We did this in the set of lines of functional-hermaphrodites by comparing the proportion of eggs they
224 laid in the first half of their layings with respect to lifetime egg production, in worms of generations
225 0 and 4. Additionally, we measured body size at the end of the male phase (number of chaetigerous
226 body segments).

227 We also estimated the frequencies of functional hermaphrodites and functional males in the
228 two sets of lines at generation 4, by pairing worms with novel partners until they laid eggs (or until
229 they died). We classified worms as functional hermaphrodites when they laid their first egg-cocoon
230 within 21 days since they had been paired and as functional males when they did not. We tested
231 functional males for male-gender sterility by checking whether the eggs they fertilized developed into
232 embryos.

233

234 *Control for selection for ability to acquire resources*

235 By selecting on phenotypic values of a trait it may happen that individuals are selected for
236 their ability to acquire resources rather than for alleles directly connected to that trait. The two sets
237 of selection lines originated from worms (founding population) that had different gender expression
238 (functional hermaphrodites vs functional males). Therefore we checked whether there were

239 differences between the two sets of lines in ability to acquire resources. To this aim, we compared
240 the length of the male phase and body size at the end of the male phase between worms of generation
241 0 in the two sets of lines. We speculated that if we had selected for ability to acquire resources, the
242 two sets of lines would have responded to selection in the same way (resulting in no significant
243 differences between worms of generation 4). Additionally, in the functional hermaphrodite set of lines
244 only, we checked whether lifetime fecundity increased from generation 0 to generation 4. If we had
245 selected for better resource acquisition ability, we would have found an increase in lifetime fecundity
246 between generations.

247

248 *Statistical analyses*

249 We performed the statistical tests to detect the responses to selection by comparing the trait
250 values of the worms in generation 0 vs those in generation 4. In these comparisons, we avoided
251 pseudo-replications by using the trait values of one worm per sibship. We used general linear models
252 (GLMs) to test for the effects of line and generation on trait values. Data were not normally distributed
253 and/or had non-homogenous variances. To account for assumptions of normality and homogeneity of
254 variances, we ln-transformed the length of male phase, the interval of time spent as young
255 hermaphrodite, the number of eggs at the 1st laying, and body size. We also transformed lifetime
256 fecundity as 1/lifetime fecundity.

257 We also used generalized linear models (GZLMs) for binomial distributions with a logit link
258 to test for differences in trait values when traits had a binomial distribution. We analysed in this way:
259 1) the proportion of worms that were either functional males or functional hermaphrodites within
260 each sibship; 2) the proportions of time each worm spent either in the male phase or as a young
261 hermaphrodite; and 3) the proportion of eggs each individual produced in the first half of its layings
262 in relation to lifetime egg production (temporal pattern of lifetime egg production).

263 Because some worms died and/or we could not measure some traits, sample sizes vary among
264 analyses. Descriptive statistics were reported as mean \pm 1 SE. Tests were two-tailed and statistical
265 analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics version 20.

266

267 RESULTS

268 *Direct response to selection for a short male phase*

269 The response to selection was significantly different between sets of lines (as indicated by a
270 significant interaction term set of line * generation in the GLM, $F_{1,94} = 19.546$, $P < 0.0001$). In the set
271 of lines of functional hermaphrodites, the male phase shortened significantly of about 10 days after
272 selection ($F_{1,58} = 41.039$, $P < 0.0001$), whereas in the set of lines of functional males it did not change
273 ($F_{1,36} = 0.320$, $P = 0.575$) (Fig. 2A). Adding body size as a covariate yielded substantially similar
274 results.

275

276 *Correlated responses to selection for a short male phase*

277 Number of eggs at 1st laying

278 The correlated response in the number of eggs at 1st laying differed between sets of lines
279 (GLM, interaction term set of lines*generation: $F_{1,85} = 9.855$, $P < 0.002$). The worms of generation
280 4 laid twice as many eggs as those in generation 0 in the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites
281 ($F_{1,58} = 53.515$, $P < 0.0001$), whereas worms in the set of lines of functional males did not vary their
282 the number of eggs at 1st laying significantly ($F_{1,27} = 0.222$, $P = 0.641$) (Fig. 2B). Adding body size
283 as a covariate yielded substantially similar results.

284 Time spent as young hermaphrodite

285 The correlated response in the time that individuals spent as young hermaphrodites differed between
286 sets of lines (GLM, interaction term set of lines*generation: $F_{1,94} = 10.736$, $P = 0.001$) (Fig. 2C).
287 Worms of generation 4 spent on average 3 days more than worms of generation 0 as young

288 hermaphrodites in the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites ($F_{1,58} = 9.921$, $P = 0.003$), whereas
289 worms of the set of lines of functional males at generation 4 spent approximately as much time as
290 their ancestors of generation 0 as young hermaphrodite ($F_{1,36} = 2.895$, $P = 0.097$).

291

292 *The trade-off between the proportions of time spent as males and as young hermaphrodites*

293 The proportion of time that worms spent as males relatively to that spent as young
294 hermaphrodites varied significantly between generations and lines (GZLM, interaction term set of
295 lines*generation: Wald $\chi^2 = 66.314$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3). It decreased significantly in the set
296 of lines of functional hermaphrodites (Wald $\chi^2 = 61.238$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$), whereas it increased
297 significantly in the set of lines of functional males (Wald $\chi^2 = 17.297$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3).

298

299

300 *Control for selection on the ability to acquire resources and temporal pattern of lifetime egg*
301 *production*

302 The two sets of selected lines originated from worms that had similar abilities to acquire
303 resources, as can be inferred by their similar length of male phase and body size at the end of male
304 phase (GLM, generation 0 of the two sets of lines: length of the male phase, $F_{1,64} = 0.449$, $P = 0.505$,
305 body size at the end of male phase, Wald $\chi^2 = 0.015$, $P = 0.904$). In contrast, at generation 4, the
306 worms of the two sets of lines differed significantly in the length of their male phase (see above, $P <$
307 0.0001) but not in their body size (Wald $\chi^2 = 0.009$, $P = 0.926$). These results confute the hypothesis
308 that worms were selected for ability to acquire resources.

309 Additionally, in the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites, worms of generation 4 had a
310 lower lifetime fecundity than worms of generation 0 (generation 0: 665.92 ± 43.18 ; generation 4:
311 189.05 ± 37.626 eggs) (Welch statistic = 6.523, $df_1 = 1$, $df_2 = 19.238$, $P = 0.019$). If we had selected
312 for a larger or faster ability to acquire resources, we would have found an increase (rather than a
313 decrease) in lifetime fecundity across generations.

314 Finally, as a result of selection, the temporal pattern of lifetime egg production changed in the
315 set of lines of functional hermaphrodites (Fig. 4). Worms of generation 4 produced a significantly
316 smaller proportion of eggs in the first half of their layings (in relation to lifetime egg production) than
317 worms of generation 0 (GZLM, Wald $\chi^2 = 348.996$, df = 1, P < 0.0001).

318
319 *Proportion of functional males in generation 4*

320 There was a significant difference between sets of lines in the proportions of functional males
321 and hermaphrodites in generation 4 (Wald $\chi^2 = 6.943$, df = 1, P < 0.008). In the set of lines of
322 functional hermaphrodites, the average proportion of males in generation 4 was 0.02 ± 0.02 , whereas
323 in that of functional males it was 0.22 ± 0.09 (Fig. 5).

324 Once paired to mature hermaphrodites, all these functional males fertilized their partners' eggs
325 repeatedly and successfully. None of them laid any egg lifelong. Of their 13 ancestors in generation
326 0, only 7 behaved as males lifelong.

327
328 DISCUSSION

329 Our results represent the first test in hermaphroditic animals, to our knowledge, of the prediction of
330 the sex allocation theory that assumes that, within the fixed budget of reproductive resources,
331 resource-sharing between male and female reproductive traits generate a negative genetic correlation
332 between reproductive traits. In effect, we selected functional hermaphrodites for a shorter male phase
333 and after selection we found that worms allocated significantly less time to the male phase and more
334 time to the young hermaphrodite phase (during which they mature the 1st batch of eggs) than their
335 progenitors. The responses to selection were clear cut and consistent across replicates. Worms that
336 have a long male phase will subtract a relatively larger amount of time and resources to the female
337 function (i.e., egg production) than worms with a short male phase. Saving time devoted to the male
338 function means saving time and resources that will be later devoted to the female function. The larger
339 the savings during the male phase, the higher the number of eggs that will be produced in the

340 hermaphroditic phase, and the longer the time available to produce them. In this sense, the length of
341 the male phase and the length of the hermaphroditic phase are proxies for the partitioning of resources
342 between male and female function.

343 During artificial selection, genetic correlations may be caused by genetic drift. This hypothesis
344 is usually supported by large within-line variances in the values of the correlated traits (MAZER et al.
345 2007). Such large variances did not occur here. Instead, we found a consistency in the values of the
346 two traits connected by the negative genetic correlation (the length of male phase and the number of
347 eggs at 1st laying) among replicates of the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites. These
348 observations led us to conclude that the responses to selection in the set of lines of functional
349 hermaphrodites were the expression of a negative genetic correlation that was caused by pleiotropy
350 or linkage disequilibrium and not caused by random genetic drift or selection for resource acquisition.
351 The two traits connected by the negative genetic correlation seem to evolve interdependently and
352 therefore they may be under the control of pleiotropic genes. These genes may affect one trait
353 favorably and the other unfavorably. The link between traits constrains the action of natural selection
354 within the limits of the trade-off and maintains the genetic variation underlying the trade-off
355 (STEARNS 1992; ROFF 2002).

356 In fact, the differences in male and female investment between generation 0 and generation 4
357 might reflect the consequences of both inbreeding depression and artificial selection. Overall, we
358 found more genetic variation than we expected under the inbreeding level caused by our backcross
359 design, suggesting that *O. diadema* laboratory populations may have purged most deleterious alleles
360 during the long period of laboratory rearing. However, inbreeding depression usually reduces lifetime
361 fecundity (REED & FRANKHAM 2003) and this reduction occurred here. Yet, if inbreeding effects
362 would have been the main cause of trait variations in our experiment, we could not explain why we
363 observed a twofold increase in the number of eggs at the 1st laying in the set of lines of functional
364 hermaphrodites.

365 It could be argued that the shortening of the male phase affected not only the length of the

366 young hermaphroditic phase, but also the trade-off between current and future reproduction through
367 the female function, with an increase in current egg production, disfavoring the future one. Our data
368 do not support this hypothesis. Indeed, with respect to their ancestors in generation 0, worms in
369 generation 4 decreased their current egg production in favor of the future one, notwithstanding a peak
370 in the 1st laying and an overall decrease in lifetime fecundity.

371 Finally, we cannot rule out the hypothesis that a short juvenile male phase could be
372 compensated by a larger investment in the male function during the functional hermaphroditic phase.
373 In this hypothesis, the observed results would indicate an indirect effect of selection on the allocation
374 of resources to the female function, but not a direct negative correlation between the two traits
375 analyzed. We cannot rule out this hypothesis, but if this was the case, we could explain neither the
376 peak in egg production at the 1st laying, nor that selected worms reciprocated eggs regularly.

377 The absence of a control line in the design of the experiment did not allow us to estimate the
378 role of uncontrolled environmental effects on the response to selection. Therefore our estimates of
379 the differences in trait values between generations may be flawed by random effects. Notwithstanding
380 the limits of our experimental design, the differences in mean values of the selected traits were
381 significant. The success of this selection experiment could be further validated by an experiment
382 where two sets of lines are selected in opposite directions (i.e. for a short or long male phase). In this
383 case, each set of selected lines would act as a control for the other and the response would be measured
384 as the divergence between the upward and the downward set (FALCONER 1989).

385 Experiments on the genetic covariance between male and female functions have been
386 performed on hermaphroditic plants (MAZER et al. 2007), but they have never been performed on
387 hermaphroditic animals before, as far as we know, possibly because it is not easy to measure male
388 and female traits. Generally, sexual functions may require different resource investments and we may
389 not be able to compare the resource currencies of the two sexual functions (SCHÄRER 2009). In our
390 study model we were able to compare the traits linked by a trade-off using the same currency – time,
391 i.e., the proportion of time spent as male vs that spent as young hermaphrodite.

392 The trait targeted by artificial selection did not respond to selection in the set of lines of
393 functional males. This indicates that the genetic architecture underlying sexual functions is different
394 in this set of lines or that genetic variation for sex allocation was exhausted in this set of lines. We
395 recall that these worms originated from founders that were identified through their male-biased
396 gender expression: they had eggs but never laid them. They differed from the worms of the set of
397 lines of functional hermaphrodites in the genetic background of the traits linked to gender expression
398 and in the responses to selection on these traits. In functional males, the trade-off was almost fixed
399 and most reproductive resources were channeled to the male function.

400 The results we obtained by selecting on functional hermaphrodites and functional males
401 can help us to outline the first steps in the evolutionary transition from hermaphroditism to separate
402 sexes. Theoretical evolutionary models indicate that the transition from hermaphroditism to separate
403 sexes (or vice versa) requires changes in the allocation of reproductive resources in response to natural
404 selection and a trade-off between the two sexual functions in hermaphrodites (CHARLESWORTH &
405 CHARLESWORTH 1978; CHARNOV 1982; DELPH & WOLF 2004, PANNELL & VERDU 2006) Under these
406 conditions, if mutant hermaphrodites appear that specialize in, for example, more male functions (at
407 the expense of the female functions), natural selection will favor other hermaphrodites that specialize
408 in the female function. Our results document that in hermaphroditic worms there was genetic variation
409 for sex allocation patterns and there was a genetic covariance between the traits that contributed to
410 the two sexual functions. There were also hermaphrodites specialized in more male function. The
411 evolutionary pathway of the transition could be this: hermaphroditic progenitors lose their negative
412 genetic correlation between the traits connected to the sexual functions, as it occurred to functional
413 males, and this is the first step towards gonochorism. Then, natural selection will favor other
414 hermaphrodites that specialize in the opposite function, as it was nicely shown in plants (DORKEN &
415 PANNELL 2009). In this perspective, functional males and specialized hermaphrodites could be the
416 ancestors of separate-sex descendants as suggested for another species of worms, in which multiple

417 sexual phenotypes are present and trade-off between sexual functions are almost completely broken
418 up (LORENZI et al. 2013).

419 Our results provide the first empirical support of a genetic basis for a trade-off between
420 traits related to the male and female function in hermaphroditic animals and highlight that these trade-
421 offs are complex. Our results also suggest that the trade-off between male and female functions breaks
422 up as hermaphrodites evolve some sexual specialization where resources are channeled towards a
423 single sexual function.

424

425

426 Acknowledgements

427 We wish to thank Sandro Cavicchi for his help in designing the experiment and discussing the results.

428 We also thank Patrice David, Roberto Simonini and two anonymous referees who made precious
429 comments on a previous version of the manuscript, and Vincent Marsicano for linguistic revision.

430

431 References

432 ÅKESSON B. 1976. Morphology and life cycle of *Ophryotrocha diadema*, a new polychaete species
433 from California. *Ophelia* 15:25–35.

434 CAMPBELL D.R. 2000. Experimental tests of sex allocation theory in plants. *Trends in Ecology and*
435 *Evolution* 15: 227–232.

436 CHARNOV E.L., BULL J.J. & MAYNARD-SMITH J. 1976. Why be an hermaphrodite? *Nature* 263: 125–
437 126.

438 CHARNOV E.L. 1982. The theory of sex allocation. *Princeton: Princeton University Press*.

439 CHARLESWORTH B. & CHARLESWORTH D. 1978. A model for the evolution of dioecy and gynodioecy.
440 *American Naturalist* 112: 975–997.

441 COX R.M. & CALSBEEK R. 2010. Severe costs of reproduction persist in *Anolis* lizards despite the
442 evolution of a single-egg clutch. *Evolution* 64: 1321–1330.

443 DELPH L.F. & WOLF D.E. 2005. Evolutionary consequences of gender plasticity in genetically
444 dimorphic breeding systems. *New Phytologist* 166: 119–128.

445 DI BONA V., LORENZI M.C. & SELLA G. 2010. Functional males in pair-mating outcrossing
446 hermaphrodites. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 100: 451–456.

447 DORKEN M.E. & PANNELL J.R. 2009. Hermaphroditic sex allocation evolves when mating
448 opportunities change. *Current Biology* 19: 514–517.

449 EHLERS B.K. & BATAILLON T. 2007. “Inconstant males” and the maintenance of labile sex expression
450 in subdioecious plants. *New Phytologist* 174: 194–211.

451 FALCONER D. S., 1989. Introduction to quantitative genetics, 3rd ed. *Harlow (Essex): Longmans*
452 *Green/John Wiley & Sons.*

453 LANDE R. 1979. Quantitative genetic analysis of multivariate evolution, applied to brain: body
454 allometry. *Evolution* 33: 402–416.

455 LORENZI M.C. & SELLA G. 2000. Is individual recognition involved in the maintenance of pair bond
456 in *Ophryotrocha diadema* (Dorvilleidae, Polychaeta)? *Ethology Ecology & Evolution* 2: 197–
457 202.

458 LORENZI M.C. & SELLA G. 2008. A measure of sexual selection in hermaphroditic animals: parentage
459 skew and the opportunity for selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 21: 827–833.

460 LORENZI M.C. & SELLA G. 2013. In between breeding systems: neither dioecy nor androdioecy
461 explains sexual polymorphism in functionally dioecious worms. *Integrative and Comparative*
462 *Biology* 53: 689–700.

463 LORENZI M.C., SCHLEICHEROVÁ D. & SELLA G. 2006. Life history and sex allocation in the
464 simultaneously hermaphroditic polychaete worm *Ophryotrocha diadema*: the role of sperm
465 competition. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 46: 381–389.

466 LORENZI M. C., D. SCHLEICHEROVA & G. SELLA. 2008. Sex adjustments are not functionally costly
467 in simultaneous hermaphrodites. *Marine Biology* 153: 599–604.

468 LORENZI M.C., SCHLEICHEROVÁ D. & SELLA G. 2013. Multiple paternity and mate competition in
469 non-selfing, monogamous, egg-trading hermaphrodites. *Acta Ethologica* in press, DOI:
470 10.1007/s10211-013-0169-x.

471 LORENZI M.C., SELLA G., SCHLEICHEROVÁ D. & RAMELLA L. 2005. Outcrossing hermaphroditic
472 polychaete worms adjust their sex allocation to social conditions. *Journal of Evolutionary*
473 *Biology* 18: 1341–1347.

474 MAZER S.J., DELESALLE V.A. & PAZ H. 2007. Evolution of mating system and the genetic covariance
475 between male and female investment in *Clarkia* (Onagraceae): selfing opposes the evolution
476 of trade-offs. *Evolution* 61: 83–98.

477 MOORE E.C. & ROBERTS R.B. 2012. Polygenic sex determination. *Current biology* 23: R510.

478 PANNELL J.R. & VERDU M. 2006. The evolution of gender specialization from dimorphic
 479 hermaphroditism: paths from heterodichogamy to gynodioecy and androdioecy. *Evolution* 60:
 480 660–673.

481 PREMOLI M.C. & SELLA G. 1995. Sex economy in benthic polychaetes. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*
 482 7: 27–48.

483 PREMOLI M.C., SELLA G. & BERRA G.P. 1996. Heritable variation of sex ratio in a polychaete worms.
 484 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 9: 845–854.

485 PREVEDELLI D., MASSAMBA N'SIALA G., SIMONINI R. 2006. Gonochorism vs. hermaphroditism:
 486 relationship between life history and fitness in three species of *Ophryotrocha* (Polychaeta:
 487 Dorvilleidae) with different forms of sexuality. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 75: 203–212.

488 REED D.H. & FRANKHAM R. 2003. Correlation between population fitness and genetic diversity.
 489 *Conservation Biology* 17: 230–237.

490 ROFF D.A. 2002. Life History Evolution. *Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates*.

491 ROFF D.A. & FAIRBAIRN D.J. 2007. The evolution of trade-offs: where are we? *Journal of*
 492 *Evolutionary Biology* 20: 433–447.

493 SCHÄRER L. 2009. Tests of sex allocation theory in simultaneously hermaphroditic animals. *Evolution*
 494 63: 1377–1405.

495 SCHLEICHEROVÁ D., LORENZI M.C. & SELLA G. 2006. How outcrossing hermaphrodites sense the
 496 presence of conspecifics and suppress female allocation. *Behavioral Ecology* 17: 1–5.

497 SCHLEICHEROVÁ D., LORENZI M.C., SELLA G. & MICHIELS N.K. 2010. Gender expression and group
 498 size: a test in a hermaphroditic and a gonochoric congeneric species of *Ophryotrocha*
 499 (Polychaeta). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 213: 1586–1590.

500 SELLA G. 1985. Reciprocal egg trading and brood care in a hermaphroditic polychaete worm. *Animal*
 501 *Behaviour* 33: 938–944.

502 SELLA G. 1990. Sex allocation in the simultaneous hermaphroditic polychaete worm *Ophryotrocha*
503 *diadema*. *Ecology* 71: 27–32.

504 SELLA G. & LORENZI M. C. 2000. Partner fidelity and egg reciprocation in the simultaneously
505 hermaphroditic polychaete worm *Ophryotrocha diadema*. *Behavioral Ecology* 11: 260–264.

506 SELLA G. & LORENZI M.C. 2003. Increased sperm allocation delays body growth in a protandrous
507 simultaneous hermaphrodite. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 78:149–154.

508 SELLA G. & RAMELLA L. 1999. Sexual conflict and mating systems in the dorvilleid genus
509 *Ophryotrocha* and the dinophilid genus *Dinophilus*. *Hydrobiologia* 402: 203–213.

510 STEARNS S.C. 1992. The evolution of life histories. *Oxford: Oxford University Press*.

511 STEARNS S.C. & HOEKSTRA R.F. 2000. Evolution - an introduction. New York: *Oxford University*
512 *Press*.

513

514 Legends to Figures

515

516 Figure 1. Life cycle and sexual pattern in *O. diadema*. Soon after hatching, worms enter their male
517 phase during which they fertilize eggs laid by mature hermaphrodites. When the male phase ends,
518 worms mature eggs in their coelom (eggs are visible through their transparent body walls). During
519 the hermaphroditic phase, mating events occur each 1 - 2 days between paired worms (fertilization is
520 external and occurs via pseudocopulation). At each mating, worms either play the female role (i.e.,
521 they lay eggs) or the male role (i.e., they fertilize eggs). At the next mating event, the worm which
522 played the female role will play the male role, and, vice versa, the one which played the male role
523 will play the female role. Usually, 20 - 40 mating events occur during the hermaphroditic phase,
524 before worms die when they are 80 - 100 days-old.

525

526 Figure 2. Direct and correlated responses to selection for a shorter male phase in generations 0 and 4
527 in the sets of lines of functional hermaphrodites and functional males. A: The shortening of the male
528 phase occurred in the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites, whereas in that of functional males it
529 did not. B: The variation in the number of eggs at 1st laying occurred in the set of lines of functional
530 hermaphrodites, whereas in that of functional males it did not. C: The lengthening of the time as
531 young hermaphrodite occurred in the set of lines of functional hermaphrodites, whereas in that of
532 functional males it did not.

533

534 Figure 3. Proportion of time spent as male and as young hermaphrodite. The dashed lines between
535 the bars show the variation across generations within line.

536

537 Figure 4. Variation in the temporal patterns of egg production in the set of lines of functional
538 hermaphrodites. Worms of generation 4 produced a significantly smaller proportion of eggs in the
539 first half of their layings (in relation to lifetime egg production) than their ancestors in generation 0

540 (notwithstanding the peak in the 1st egg laying).

541

542 Figure 5. Proportion of functional males and functional hermaphrodites in generation 4 in the two

543 selected lines.